# LA-UR-25-21992

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Title: Commentary: FTWC Operations & Public Dose

Author(s): Fuehne, David Patrick

Intended for: Talking points for regulatory and public meetings

**Issued:** 2025-03-03









Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by Triad National Security, LLC for the National Nuclear Security Administration of U.S. Department of Energy under contract 89233218CNA000001. By approving this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher dientify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy. Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; as an institution, however, the Laboratory does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness.

## Commentary: FTWC Operations & Public Dose

#### **Regulatory Limits**

The limits established in the Clean Air Act by the Environmental Protection Agency are protective of even the most vulnerable members of the public, including pregnant women, children, and the elderly. By keeping emissions within these limits, we are ensuring that LANL operations will cause no harm to any member of the public in the surrounding communities or tribal nations.

#### **ALARA principles for FTWC operations**

- Only one drum is processed at a time to reduce the emissions potential.
- Pressure relief operations will take place in small 10 psi increments to allow better tracking of emissions and time for system equilibration.
- The exhaust system is equipped with a molecular sieve bed (AL-M1) to capture tritium in water vapor form.
- Emissions will be monitored in real time with multiple systems.
- Emissions will be tracked throughout the operation and compared to established daily limits.
- Pause points have been established at 3 millirem and 6 millirem, where we
  will temporarily pause operations to evaluate the completeness of the
  project & remaining work.
- A "hard stop" of 8 millirem is established; no further venting will be performed if this threshold is reached.
- We use worst-case wind conditions to determine emitted activity thresholds based on these pause points & stop point.
- After each day of operation, we will evaluate the actual off-site doses based on actual wind conditions & that day's emissions.
- The next day's pause & stop points will be recalculated based on releases to-date, again using worst-case wind conditions to calculate these corresponding emissions levels.
- Enhanced tritium monitoring at multiple downwind locations, developed in conjunction with EPA Region 6 staff.

### Operational Philosophy of the TA-54 Area G FTWC Remediation Project

plan is designed to
eliminate the chance of
an Uncontrolled,
Unmitigated, or
Unmonitored

release of radioactive material from the FTWCs stored at TA-54, Area G.



Controls	<ul> <li>Operational work flow treats one FTWC at a time to reduce the amount of "material at risk" at any point.</li> <li>Slow step-down of pressure levels allows system equilibration and minimizes the chance of a runaway reaction.</li> <li>Process steps use continuous system checks to ensure worker and public safety.</li> <li>Emissions management plan incorporates hold points and a hard stop limit to ensure regulatory limits are not exceeded.</li> </ul>
Mitigation	<ul> <li>The FTWC exhaust system is equipped with a molecular sieve which will capture tritium in water vapor phase. Tritiated water vapor is the most hazardous chemical form of tritium, and its removal from the exhaust air stream minimizes downwind dose consequences.</li> </ul>
Monitoring	<ul> <li>Real-time monitoring of FTWC emissions.</li> <li>Regulatory compliance emissions "measurements of record" will identify the chemical form of emissions.</li> <li>Expanded downwind air sampling at public receptor locations.</li> <li>Daily dose calculations to public receptor locations in every wind sector and to additional selected points of interest.</li> <li>Periodic updates to public reading room of emissions and dose status.</li> </ul>

#### **Dose calculation processes**

Questions have been raised about the dose conversion factors for children, pregnant women, etc. Can LANL claim compliance with the EPA 10 millirem standard (in 40 CFR 61.92) when considering these other possible dose conversion factors? There are two perspectives for consideration:

Regulatory perspective. The Radionuclide NESHAP (40 CFR 61.92) establishes a limit on airborne emissions to those which "would cause any member of the public to receive in any year an effective dose equivalent of 10 millirem/yr." This regulation also prescribes the methods by which this dose shall be calculated, including various computer models. At the time of this regulation's issuance, the models called out ("CAP-88 or AIRDOSE-PC") did not have age-dependent dose conversion factors, so the 10 millirem limit is de facto applied to an adult. Later versions of CAP88-PC do have these capabilities, but the EPA has not promulgated requirements to use such age-dependent dose factors when determining public dose consequence from DOE operations.

Scientific perspective. The 10 millirem limit is extremely low when compared to levels of radiation exposure that have detrimental effects to human health. Natural background radiation in Northern New Mexico averages around 400 millirem per year, dominated by cosmic rays from living at high elevations and terrestrial dose from the area's geology. Compared to the typical year-to-year variation in background radiation exposure levels, the regulatory limit of 10 millirem is essentially lost in the noise. Furthermore, the radiological dose required to have any observable biological effects (marked by a deviation in white blood cell count) is over 10,000 millirem. The EPA limits are more than a thousand times lower than dose levels at which any biological effects have been **observed**. Certainly, one can discuss different dose conversion factors for various population groups, including children, women, and different races and ethnicities. However, the difference in these dose factors is sometimes in the order of a factor of five, a factor of ten, etc. The emissions from LANL, regardless of specific factors used to calculate the public dose, are still well below any levels that could possibly result in human health effects.